

## References

# ACE's 2021 Review of Faunalytics

- Anderson, J. (2020, December 16). *Faunalytics' plans & priorities for 2021*. Faunalytics.  
<https://faunalytics.org/faunalytics-plans-and-priorities-for-2021/>
- Anderson, J. (2021, June 23). *Gauging our impact: Community survey 2021 results*. Faunalytics.  
<https://faunalytics.org/gauging-our-impact-community-survey-2021-results/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2016, November). *Why farmed animals?*  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/donation-advice/why-farmed-animals/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2018, October). *Allocation of movement resources*.  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/other-topics/allocation-of-movement-resources/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020a, February). *A systematic review of cell-cultured meat acceptance*.  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/other-topics/a-systematic-review-of-cell-cultured-meat-acceptance/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020b, November). *Cause priorities for ACE*.  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/advocacy-interventions/prioritizing-causes/causes-we-consider/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020c, November). *Menu of outcomes for animal advocacy*.  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/methodology/menu-of-outcomes/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020d, November). *Theories of change*.  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/methodology/theories-of-change/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021a, April). *The philosophical foundation of our work*.  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/about/background/our-philosophy/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021b, August). *Giving metrics report*.  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/about/impact/giving-metrics/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021c, August). *How does producing advocacy research affect the animal advocacy movement?*  
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/research-briefs/how-does-producing-advocacy-research-affect-the-animal-advocacy-movement/>

- Baur, D., & Schmitz, H. P. (2012). Corporations and NGOs: When accountability leads to co-optation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 106(1), 9–21. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41413241>
- Beckstead, N. (2019). A brief argument for the overwhelming importance of shaping the far future. In H. Greaves & T. Pummer (Eds.), *Effective altruism: Philosophical issues* (pp. 80–98). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198841364.003.0006>
- Bollard, L. (2017, April 11). *Why are the US corporate cage-free campaigns succeeding?* Open Philanthropy. <https://www.openphilanthropy.org/blog/why-are-us-corporate-cage-free-campaigns-succeeding>
- Brooks Institute, The. (n.d.). *Farmed Animal Research Collaborative*. Retrieved October 6, 2021, from <https://thebrooksinstitute.org/lead-facilitator/farmed-animal-research-collaborative>
- Calabrese, T. D. (2020). Nonprofit finance: A synthetic review. *Voluntaristics Review*, 4(5), 1–89. <https://doi.org/10.1163/24054933-12340030>
- Capriati, M. (2018, November). *Cause area report: Corporate campaigns for animal welfare*. Founders Pledge. <https://founderspledge.com/research/fp-animal-welfare>
- Chriki, S., & Hocquette, J.-F. (2020). The myth of cultured meat: A review. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 7(7), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2020.00007>
- Council on Foundations. (2010). *Should CEOs be on the board?* <https://sciwheel.com/work/item/11764814/resources/12705680/pdf>
- Du Bois, C., Caers, R., Jegers, M., De Cooman, R., De Gieter, S., & Pepermans, R. (2007). The non-profit board: A concise review of the empirical literature on JSTOR. *Zeitschrift Für Öffentliche Und Gemeinwirtschaftliche Unternehmen: ZögU / Journal for Public and Nonprofit Services*, 30(1), 78–88. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20764647>
- Faunalytics. (n.d.-a). *Completed projects*. Retrieved October 6, 2021, from <https://faunalytics.org/completed-projects/>
- Faunalytics. (n.d.-b). *Animal tracker*. Retrieved October 6, 2021, from <https://faunalytics.org/graphing-tool/>
- Faunalytics. (n.d.-c). *Infographics*. Retrieved October 6, 2021, from <https://faunalytics.org/infographics/>
- Gallup. (2021). *The power of Gallup's Q12 employee engagement survey*. <https://www.gallup.com/access/323333/q12-employee-engagement-survey.aspx>
- Garven, S. A., Hofmann, M. A., & McSwain, D. N. (2016). Playing the numbers game. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 26(4), 401–416. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.21201>

- Greaves, H., & MacAskill, W. (2019). *The case for strong longtermism*. Global Priorities Institute, University of Oxford.  
<https://globalprioritiesinstitute.org/hilary-greaves-william-macaskill-the-case-for-strong-longtermism/page/2/>
- Groysberg, B., Lee, J., Price, J., & Cheng, J. Y.-J. (2018). *The leader's guide to corporate culture*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2018/01/the-leaders-guide-to-corporate-culture>
- Mercy for Animals. (2020a). *Farmed animal opportunity index*.  
[https://file-cdn.mercyforanimals.org/Data\\_mfa\\_faoi/FAOI-Methodology-DRAFT-5.pdf](https://file-cdn.mercyforanimals.org/Data_mfa_faoi/FAOI-Methodology-DRAFT-5.pdf)
- Mercy for Animals. (2020b). *Farmed animal opportunity index*. <https://data.mercyforanimals.org/>
- Mitchell, G. E., & Calabrese, T. D. (2018). Proverbs of nonprofit financial management. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 49(6), 649–661.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074018770458>
- Open Philanthropy. (n.d.). *Will companies make good on cage-free pledges?* Retrieved September 29, 2021, from  
<https://mailchi.mp/ed7daaae83a3/will-companies-make-good-on-cage-free-pledges?e=6c15d3d0d6>
- Rollag, K. (n.d.). *Incentive types*.  
[https://faculty.babson.edu/krollag/org\\_site/encyclop/incentive\\_type.html](https://faculty.babson.edu/krollag/org_site/encyclop/incentive_type.html)
- Sentience Institute. (2020, August). *Summary of evidence for foundational questions in effective animal advocacy*.  
<https://www.sentienceinstitute.org/foundational-questions-summaries#individual-vs.-institutional-interventions-and-messaging>
- Šimčíkas, S. (2019, August 9). *Corporate campaigns affect 9 to 120 years of chicken life per dollar spent*. Rethink Priorities.  
<https://rethinkpriorities.org/publications/corporate-campaigns-affect-9-to-120-years-of-chicken-life-per-dollar-spent>
- Wrenn, C. L. (2012). Abolitionist animal rights: Critical comparisons and challenges within the animal rights movement. *Animal Rights Movement Collection*, 4(2), 438-458.  
<https://www.wellbeingintlstudiesrepository.org/anirmov/2/>