

References

ACE's 2021 Review of xiaobuVEGAN

- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2016, November). *Why farmed animals?*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/donation-advice/why-farmed-animals/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2018, October). *Allocation of movement resources.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/other-topics/allocation-of-movement-resources/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020a, February). *A systematic review of cell-cultured meat acceptance.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/other-topics/a-systematic-review-of-cell-cultured-meat-acceptance/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020b, November). *Cause priorities for ACE.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/advocacy-interventions/prioritizing-causes/causes-we-consider/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020c, November). *Menu of outcomes for animal advocacy.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/methodology/menu-of-outcomes/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020d, November). *Theories of change.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/methodology/theories-of-change/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021a, April). *The philosophical foundation of our work.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/about/background/our-philosophy/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021b, August). *Giving metrics report.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/about/impact/giving-metrics/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021c, September). *What is the effect of institutional outreach on the availability of animal-free products?*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/research-briefs/what-is-the-effect-of-institutional-outreach-on-the-availability-of-animal-free-products/>
- Baur, D., & Schmitz, H. P. (2012). Corporations and NGOs: When accountability leads to co-optation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 106(1), 9–21. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/41413241>
- Beckstead, N. (2019). A brief argument for the overwhelming importance of shaping the far future. In H. Greaves & T. Pummer (Eds.), *Effective altruism: Philosophical issues* (pp. 80–98). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198841364.003.0006>

- Bianchi, F., Dorsel, C., Garnett, E., Aveyard, P., & Jebb, S. A. (2018). Interventions targeting conscious determinants of human behaviour to reduce the demand for meat: A systematic review with qualitative comparative analysis. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 15(102).
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-018-0729-6>
- Bollard, L. (2017, April 11). *Why are the US corporate cage-free campaigns succeeding?* Open Philanthropy.
<https://www.openphilanthropy.org/blog/why-are-us-corporate-cage-free-campaigns-succeeding>
- Calabrese, T. D. (2020). Nonprofit finance: A synthetic review. *Voluntaristics Review*, 4(5), 1–89.
<https://doi.org/10.1163/24054933-12340030>
- Capriati, M. (2018). *Cause area report: Corporate campaigns for animal welfare*. Founders Pledge. <https://founderspledge.com/research/fp-animal-welfare>
- Chriki, S., & Hocquette, J.-F. (2020). The myth of cultured meat: A review. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 7(7), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2020.00007>
- Council on Foundations. (2010). *Should CEOs be on the board?*
<https://sciwheel.com/work/item/11764814/resources/12705680/pdf>
- Du Bois, C., Caers, R., Jegers, M., De Cooman, R., De Gieter, S., & Pepermans, R. (2007). The non-profit board: A concise review of the empirical literature on JSTOR. *Zeitschrift Für Öffentliche Und Gemeinwirtschaftliche Unternehmen: ZögU / Journal for Public and Nonprofit Services*, 30(1), 78–88. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20764647>
- Faunalytics. (2019, June 19). *Challenge 22+ impact pilot study*.
<https://faunalytics.org/challenge-22-pilot-impact-study/>
- Gallup. (2021). *The power of Gallup's Q12 employee engagement survey*.
<https://www.gallup.com/access/323333/q12-employee-engagement-survey.aspx>
- Garven, S. A., Hofmann, M. A., & McSwain, D. N. (2016). Playing the numbers game. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 26(4), 401–416. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.21201>
- Grassian, D. T. (2019). *A new way of eating: Creating meat reducers, vegetarians and vegans* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Kent]. Kent Academic Repository.
<https://kar.kent.ac.uk/76482/>
- Greaves, H., & MacAskill, W. (2019). *The case for strong longtermism*. Global Priorities Institute, University of Oxford.
<https://globalprioritiesinstitute.org/hilary-greaves-william-macaskill-the-case-for-strong-longtermism/page/2/>

- Groysberg, B., Lee, J., Price, J., & Cheng, J. Y.-J. (2018). *The leader's guide to corporate culture*. Harvard Business Review. <https://hbr.org/2018/01/the-leaders-guide-to-corporate-culture>
- Harris, J. (2019, June 11). *Effective animal advocacy movement building: A neglected opportunity?* Effective Altruism Forum. <https://forum.effectivealtruism.org/posts/7sdcXbTqjgFwzds2S/effective-animal-advocacy-movement-building-a-neglected>
- Mercy for Animals. (2020a). *Farmed animal opportunity index*. https://file-cdn.mercyforanimals.org/Data_mfa_faoi/FAOI-Methodology-DRAFT-5.pdf
- Mercy for Animals. (2020b). *Farmed animal opportunity index*. <https://data.mercyforanimals.org/>
- Mitchell, G. E., & Calabrese, T. D. (2018). Proverbs of nonprofit financial management. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 49(6), 649–661. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074018770458>
- Moleman, P. (2018, March). *Impact evaluation of 30-day VeggieChallenge, 2017*. Retrieved October 7, 2021, from <https://osf.io/q62uk/>
- Open Philanthropy. (n.d.). *Will companies make good on cage-free pledges?* Retrieved September 29, 2021, from <https://mailchi.mp/ed7daaae83a3/will-companies-make-good-on-cage-free-pledges?e=6c15d3d0d6>
- Rollag, K. (n.d.). *Incentive types*. Retrieved September 29, 2021, from https://faculty.babson.edu/krollag/org_site/encyclop/incentive_type.html
- Sentience Institute. (2020, August). *Summary of evidence for foundational questions in effective animal advocacy*. <https://www.sentienceinstitute.org/foundational-questions-summaries#individual-vs.-institutional-interventions-and-messaging>
- Šimčíkas, S. (2019, August 9). *Corporate campaigns affect 9 to 120 years of chicken life per dollar spent*. Rethink Priorities. <https://rethinkpriorities.org/publications/corporate-campaigns-affect-9-to-120-years-of-chicken-life-per-dollar-spent>
- Wrenn, C. L. (2012). Abolitionist animal rights: Critical comparisons and challenges within the animal rights movement. *Animal Rights Movement Collection*, 4(2), 438–458. <https://www.wellbeingintlstudiesrepository.org/anirmov/2/>