

References

ACE's 2022 Review of Sinergia Animal

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2016, November). *Why farmed animals?*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/donation-advice/why-farmed-animals/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2018, October). *Allocation of movement resources.*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/reports/allocation-of-movement-resources/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020a, April). *Farmed fish welfare report.*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/reports/farmed-fish-welfare-report/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2020b, November). *Theories of change.*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/methodology/theories-of-change/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021a, April). *The philosophical foundation of our work.*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/about/background/our-philosophy/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021b, August). *Giving metrics report.*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/about/impact/giving-metrics/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021c, August). *How does producing advocacy research affect the animal advocacy movement?*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/research-briefs/how-does-producing-advocacy-research-affect-the-animal-advocacy-movement/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021d, September). *What is the effect of institutional outreach on the availability of animal-free products?*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/research-briefs/what-is-the-effect-of-institutional-outreach-on-the-availability-of-animal-free-products/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2021e, December). *What are the effects of increasing the availability of animal-free foods on animal product consumption?*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/research-briefs/what-are-the-effects-of-increasing-the-availability-of-animal-free-foods-on-animal-product-consumption/>

Animal Charity Evaluators. (2022a, January). *What is the effect of legal work on improving animal welfare standards?*

<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/research-briefs/what-is-the-effect-of-legal-work-on-improving-animal-welfare-standards/>

- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2022b, February). *What is the effect of cage-free corporate outreach on egg-laying hens' welfare?*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/research-briefs/what-is-the-effect-of-cage-free-corporate-outreach-on-egg-laying-hens-welfare/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2022c, February). *The most numerous animal groups and species.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/reports/the-most-numerous-animal-groups-and-species/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2022d, February). *Why wild animals?*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/donation-advice/why-wild-animals/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2022e, March). *Cause area priorities for animal advocacy.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/methodology/causes-we-consider/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2022f, March). *Menu of outcomes.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/methodology/menu-of-outcomes/>
- Animal Charity Evaluators. (2022g, March). *Prioritizing causes.*
<https://animalcharityevaluators.org/research/methodology/prioritizing-causes/>
- Balzani, A., & Hanlon, A. (2020). Factors that influence farmers' views on farm animal welfare: A semi-systematic review and thematic analysis. *Animals : An Open Access Journal from MDPI*, 10(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10091524>
- Baur, D., & Schmitz, H. P. (2012). Corporations and NGOs: When accountability leads to co-optation. *Journal of Business Ethics: JBE*, 106(1), 9–21.
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/41413241>
- Beckstead, N. (2019). A brief argument for the overwhelming importance of shaping the far future. In *Effective Altruism: Philosophical Issues* (pp. 80–98). Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198841364.003.0006>
- Bianchi, F., Dorsel, C., Garnett, E., Aveyard, P., & Jebb, S. A. (2018). Interventions targeting conscious determinants of human behaviour to reduce the demand for meat: a systematic review with qualitative comparative analysis. *The International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 15(1), 102. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-018-0729-6>
- BoardSource. (2016). *Recommended governance practices.*
<http://boardsource.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/10/Recommended-Gov-Practices.pdf>
- Boland, A. (2021, January 1). *The importance of operating reserves for nonprofits.* Nonprofit Accounting Basics.
<https://www.nonprofitaccountingbasics.org/reserves/importance-operating-reserves-nonprofits-0>

- Bollard, L. (2017, April 11). *Why are the US corporate cage-free campaigns succeeding?* <https://www.openphilanthropy.org/blog/why-are-us-corporate-cage-free-campaigns-succeeding>
- Capriati, M. (2018). *Cause area report: Corporate campaigns for animal welfare*. Founders Pledge. <https://founderspledge.com/research/fp-animal-welfare>
- Carroll, D. A., & Stater, K. J. (2009). Revenue diversification in nonprofit organizations: Does it lead to financial stability? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(4), 947–966. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mun025>
- Charity Navigator. (n.d.-a). *Culture & community*. Retrieved October 27, 2022, from <https://www.charitynavigator.org/index.cfm?bay=content.view&cpid=8563#constituent-feedback-methodology>
- Charity Navigator. (n.d.-b). *How do we rate charities' accountability and transparency?* Retrieved October 27, 2022, from <https://www.charitynavigator.org/index.cfm?bay=content.view&cpid=1093>
- Clark, P. B., & Wilson, J. Q. (1961). Incentive systems: A theory of organizations. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 6(2), 129. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2390752>
- Du Bois, C., Caers, R., Jegers, M., De Cooman, R., De Gieter, S., & Pepermans, R. (2007). The non-profit Board: A concise review of the empirical literature on JSTOR. *Zeitschrift Für Öffentliche Und Gemeinwirtschaftliche Unternehmen: ZögU / Journal for Public and Nonprofit Services*, 30(1), 78–88. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20764647>
- Faunalytics. (2019, June 19). *Challenge 22+ pilot impact study*. <https://faunalytics.org/challenge-22-pilot-impact-study/>
- Gallup. (2021). *The power of Gallup's Q12 Employee Engagement Survey*. <https://www.gallup.com/access/323333/q12-employee-engagement-survey.aspx>
- Garven, S. A., Hofmann, M. A., & McSwain, D. N. (2016). Playing the numbers game. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 26(4), 401–416. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.21201>
- Grassian, D. T. (2021, February 16). *A new way of eating: Creating meat reducers, vegetarians and vegans*. Kent Academic Repository. <https://kar.kent.ac.uk/76482/>
- Greaves, H., & MacAskill, W. (2019). *The case for strong longtermism*. Global Priorities Institute. <https://globalprioritiesinstitute.org/hilary-greaves-william-macaskill-the-case-for-strong-longtermism/page/2/>
- Hung, C., & Hager, M. A. (2019). The impact of revenue diversification on nonprofit financial health: A meta-analysis. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 48(1), 5–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764018807080>

- Kartolo, A., Rauti, C., & Kwantes, C. (2022, May 18). *Nonprofit organizational culture assessment*. Human Synergistics.
<https://www.humansynergistics.com/blog/constructive-culture-blog/details/constructive-culture/2022/05/18/organizational-culture-assessment-in-a-non-profit-organization>
- Kwasny, T., Dobernig, K., & Riefler, P. (2022). Towards reduced meat consumption: A systematic literature review of intervention effectiveness, 2001-2019. *Appetite*, 168, 105739.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105739>
- Mathur, M. B., Peacock, J., Reichling, D. B., Nadler, J., Bain, P. A., Gardner, C. D., & Robinson, T. N. (2021). Interventions to reduce meat consumption by appealing to animal welfare: Meta-analysis and evidence-based recommendations. *Appetite*, 164, 105277.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105277>
- Mercy for Animals. (n.d.). *Farmed Animal Opportunity Index (FAOI)*. Retrieved October 28, 2022, from https://file-cdn.mercyforanimals.org/Data_mfa_faoi/FAOI-Methodology-DRAFT-5.pdf
- Mitchell, G. E., & Calabrese, T. D. (2018). Proverbs of nonprofit financial management. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 49(6), 649–661.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074018770458>
- Moleman, P. (2018, March). *Impact evaluation of 30-day Veggie Challenge, 2017*. Viva Las Vega's (Animal Advocacy Data Repository). <https://osf.io/q62uk>
- National Council of Nonprofits. (n.d.-a). *A network approach to capacity building*. Retrieved October 28, 2022, from <https://www.councilofnonprofits.org/tools-resources/network-approach-capacity-building>
- National Council of Nonprofits. (n.d.-b). *Operating reserves for nonprofits*. Retrieved October 27, 2022, from <https://www.councilofnonprofits.org/tools-resources/operating-reserves-nonprofits>
- Nonprofit Leadership Center. (2021, May 11). *Four critical HR policies for nonprofit organizations*. <https://nlctb.org/tips/hr-policies-for-nonprofit-organizations/>
- Piazza, J., Gregson, R., Kordoni, A., Pfeiler, T. M., Ruby, M. B., Ellis, D. A., Sahin, E., & Reith, M. (2022). Monitoring a meat-free pledge with smartphones: An experimental study. *Appetite*, 168, 105726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105726>
- Polanco, A. (2022, April 27). *Planting seeds: The impact of diet & different animal advocacy tactics*. Faunalytics. <https://faunalytics.org/relative-effectiveness/>
- Propel Nonprofits. (2022). *Operating reserves with nonprofit policy examples*. <https://www.propelnonprofits.org/resources/nonprofit-operating-reserves-policy-examples/>

- Rice, M., Hemsworth, L. M., Hemsworth, P. H., & Coleman, G. J. (2020). The impact of a negative media event on public attitudes towards animal welfare in the red meat industry. *Animals : An Open Access Journal from MDPI*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10040619>
- Rollag, K. (n.d.). *Incentive types*. Retrieved September 29, 2021, from https://faculty.babson.edu/krollag/org_site/encyclop/incentive_type.html
- Rousseau, D. M. (1990). Normative beliefs in fund-raising organizations. *Group & Organization Studies*, 15(4), 448–460. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105960119001500408>
- Rowe, A. (2020, September 2). *The scale of direct human impact on invertebrates*. Rethink Priorities. <https://rethinkpriorities.org/publications/the-scale-of-direct-human-impact-on-invertebrates>
- Schuck-Paim, C., Negro-Calduch, E., & Alonso, W. J. (2021). Laying hen mortality in different indoor housing systems: a meta-analysis of data from commercial farms in 16 countries. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 3052. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81868-3>
- Šimčíkas, S. (2019a, August 9). *Corporate campaigns affect 9 to 120 years of chicken life per dollar spent*. Rethink Priorities. <https://rethinkpriorities.org/publications/corporate-campaigns-affect-9-to-120-years-of-chicken-life-per-dollar-spent>
- Šimčíkas, S. (2019b, February 1). *Will companies meet their animal welfare commitments?* Rethink Priorities. <https://rethinkpriorities.org/publications/will-companies-meet-their-animal-welfare-commitments>
- Tilli, C. (2021, June 14). *Why scientific research is less effective in producing value than it could be: A mapping*. EA Forum. <https://forum.effectivealtruism.org/posts/DcNB2Z2tKLe6miqqk/why-scientific-research-is-less-effective-in-producing-value>
- Tiplady, C. M., Walsh, D.-A. B., & Phillips, C. J. C. (2013). Public response to media coverage of animal cruelty. *Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Ethics*, 26(4), 869–885. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-012-9412-0>
- Tiplady, C. M., Walsh, D. B., & Phillips, C. J. C. (2015). Ethical issues concerning the public viewing of media broadcasts of animal cruelty. *Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Ethics*, 28(4), 635–645. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10806-015-9547-x>
- Tonsor, G. T., & Olynk, N. J. (2011). Impacts of Animal Well-Being and Welfare Media on Meat Demand. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 62(1), 59–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2010.00266.x>

Veganuary. (2021). *The official Veganuary 2021 participant survey*.

<https://veganuary.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Veganuary-2021-Survey-Results-ALL-2.pdf>

Wrenn, C. L. (2012). Abolitionist animal rights: Critical comparisons and challenges within the animal rights movement. *Animal Rights Movement Collection*, 4(2), 438–458.

<https://www.wellbeingintlstudiesrepository.org/anirmov/2/>